UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,866	09/15/2005	Anahit Tataryan	3361-US-1	1881
	7590 09/11/200 VISON CORPORATIO	EXAMINER		
Patent Group	• •	CHANG, VICTOR S		
Law Department - 3 South P.O. BOX 7090 PASADENA, CA 91109-7090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

rachele.wittwer@averydennison.com brian.martin@averydennison.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/523,866	TATARYAN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	VICTOR S. CHANG	1794		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Jules</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the Expression in	action is non-final.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 32-52 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 32-52 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/30/09.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/523,866 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicants' amendments and remarks filed on 6/8/2009 and 3/30/2009 have been entered. Claims 53-62 have been cancelled. Applicant's election without traverse of Invention I (claims 32-52) is acknowledged. Claims 32-52 are active.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. In response, the grounds of rejections have been updated as set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

More particularly, in claim 48, the term "the mailing article" lacks antecedent basis. Clarification is required in the next reply.

Art Unit: 1794

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claims 32-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Stipek [US 3914483].

Stipek's invention relates to a multiple part label. Figs. 1 and 2 illustrate a roll of labels 13 comprising in order an indicia carrying sheet 17 (facestock), a pressure sensitive adhesive layer 19 (second means), and a backing sheet 15 coated with release agent (release liner, or third means). The backing sheet is cut along a closed line 21 which is located inwardly of the periphery 23 of the label. The closed cut line 21 defines a backing portion 25 located entirely within the boundaries of the label 13. The indicia carrying sheet 17 is cut completely through along one closed line 31 thereby forming an inner removable label 33. The inner removable label 33 may have imprinted indicia 41. The indicia may be the name of the manufacturer, distributor, packer, etc., or other information for identifying a container or package [col. 1, ll. 45 through col. 2, ll. 29]. Figs. 5 and 6 illustrate that the relative die cut positions in the label carrying sheet 17 and the backing sheet 15 [col. 1, ll. 39-42].

For claims 32-46 and 48-52, in Stipek's Fig. 6, die cuts 51 and 53 read on the second and first the weakened lines, respectively. Stipek teaches all the features of the labels of the claimed invention. Regarding the term "first means" of "a print-receptive coating", since Stipek teaches that information for identifying indicia is imprinted on the label carrying sheet 17, the outer surface of the label carrying sheet reads on the "first means". Regarding the use languages throughout the claims, i.e., printing the indicia as "send-reply mailing address", and the steps of using the label for mailing, since statements of intended use do not serve to distinguish structure over the prior art, they have not been given any patentable weight. *In re Pearson*, 494 F.2d 1399, 1403, 181 USPQ 641, 644 (CCPA 1974). Alternatively, even if the use languages are

Art Unit: 1794

considered, the examiner takes Official notice that using a label with preprinted address for mailing is common and well known, therefore it would have been an obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of label to modify Stipek's label with an indicia of preprinted address for mailing. Finally, regarding the use steps during mailing, since the process limitations have not been shown on the record to produce a patentably distinct article, the formed articles are rendered *prima facie* obvious, and this limitation at the present time has not been given patentable weight.

For claim 47, since Stipek teaches multiple labels 17 on a roll of backing sheet 15, the terms "third and fourth weakened lines" are read upon by similar die cuts in a second label.

Response to Arguments

7. In view of the new grounds of rejections set forth above, applicants' arguments are moot.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VICTOR S. CHANG whose telephone number is (571)272-1474. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 5:00 pm, Tuesday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Sample can be reached on 571-272-1376. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/523,866 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Victor S Chang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794